	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/945,309	KIRK, STEVE VAN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James C Kerveros	2133	
All Participants:	Status of Application:		
(1) <u>James C Kerveros</u> .	(3)		
(2) Edward J. Brooks, III.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 10 December 2003	Time:		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: N/A.			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A			
Claims discussed:			
Restrictoin of Claims			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>			
12/12/03			
(Examiner/SPEx Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTQL-413B (04-03) Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The Examiner called Mr. Edward J Brooks, III, attorney for Applicant to discuss Restriction / Election requirements for the present Application, regarding Claims 1-49.

During the telephone conversation with Edward J. Brooks, III on December 10, 2003 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-30. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 31-49 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.